

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/677,215	Applicant(s) CALLENS ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew D. Kosar	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(2) Ashley Pezzner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2006

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all, in general

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted in order to complete the response to the restriction requirement. Applicant elected R1 and R2=H, thus the elected species is the tetrapeptide GFLG. Applicant elected with traverse. The phone election is considered sufficient to make the previous response fully responsive..